Classical Mechanics

Hamiltonian Mechanics

- Generalized Momenta
- **Hamilton's Equations**
- Phase Space
- Liouville's Theorem
- **The Virial Theorem**

Generalized Momenta

Consider the motion of a single particle moving in one dimension the kinetic energy is

$$T = \frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}^2$$

/ $m \ll$ the mass of the particle $x \ll$ its displacement

the particle's linear momentum is

 $p = m \dot{x}$

This can also be written as

$$p = \frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{x}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{x}}$$

because $\mathcal{L} = T - U$ and the potential energy U is independent of \dot{x}

Classical Mechanics

Generalized Momenta (cont'd)

Consider a dynamical system described by \mathcal{F} generalized coordinates q_i (for $i = 1, \dots, \mathcal{F}$)

By analogy with our previous discussion

₩

we can define generalized momenta of the form

$$p_i = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_i}$$

 $p_i \bowtie$ is sometimes called the momentum conjugate to the coordinate q_i

Lagrange's equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \right) - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_i} = 0$$

can be re-written as

$$\frac{dp_i}{dt} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_i}$$

Note that a generalized momentum does not necessarily have the dimensions of linear momentum

Luis Anchordoqui UWM

Generalized Momenta (cont'd)

Suppose that the Lagrangian \mathcal{L} does not depend explicitly on some coordinate q_k

 $p_k = \text{const.}$

The coordinate q_k is said to be ignorable in this case CONCLUSION

the generalized momentum associated with an ignorable coordinate is a constant of the motion

EXAMPLE

The Lagrangian for a particle moving in a central potential is independent of the angular coordinate θ

₩

 $\theta \Leftrightarrow$ is an ignorable coordinate $p_{\theta} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\theta}} = m r^2 \dot{\theta}$ is a constant of the motion Of course $region p_{\theta}$ is the angular momentum about the origin which is conserved because a central force exerts no torque about the origin

Hamilton's Equations

Consider a dynamical system with \mathcal{F} degrees of freedom described by the generalized coordinates $q_i \Leftrightarrow i = 1, \ldots, \mathcal{F}$ Suppose that neither T nor U depend explicitly on the time tIn conventional dynamical systems

- ${ \sc \prime }$ the potential energy is generally independent of the $\dot q_i$
- imes the kinetic energy takes the form of a homogeneous quadratic function of the \dot{q}_i

$$T = \sum_{i,j=1,\mathcal{F}} m_{ij} \, \dot{q}_i \, \dot{q}_j$$

- m_{ij} depends on the q_i but not on the \dot{q}_i

$$\bigvee_{i=1,\mathcal{F}} \dot{q}_i \frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_i} = 2T$$

The generalized momentum conjugate to the ith generalized coordinate is

$$p_i = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_i} = \frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_i}$$

\$\mathcal{L} = T - U\$ is the Lagrangian of the system
 \$U\$ is independent of the \$\dot{q}_i\$

Luis Anchordoqui UWM

Fall 2006

Hamilton's Equations (cont'd)

Consider the function

$$H = \sum_{i=1,\mathcal{F}} \dot{q}_i \, p_i - \mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=1,\mathcal{F}} \dot{q}_i \, p_i - T + U$$

If all of the conditions discussed above are satisfied

In other words $<\!\!<\!\!>$ the function H is equal to the total energy of the system Consider the variation of the function H

$$\delta H = \sum_{i=1,\mathcal{F}} \left(\delta \dot{q}_i \, p_i + \dot{q}_i \, \delta p_i - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \, \delta \dot{q}_i - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_k} \, \delta q_k \right)$$

The first and third terms in the bracket cancel because $\approx p_i = \partial \mathcal{L} / \partial \dot{q}_i$ Now \approx Lagrange's equation can be written as $\dot{p}_i = \partial \mathcal{L} / \partial q_i$

$\delta H = \sum_{i=1,\mathcal{F}} \left(\dot{q}_i \, \delta p_i - \dot{p}_i \, \delta q_i \right) \tag{(\clubsuit)}$

↓

Classical Mechanics

Luis Anchordoqui UWM

Hamilton's Equations (cont'd)

Suppose now $<\!\!\!>$ that we can express the total energy of the system Hsolely as a function of the q_i and the $p_i <\!\!\!<\!\!\!>$ with no explicit dependence on the \dot{q}_i In other words $<\!\!\!<\!\!>$ suppose that we can write $H = H(q_i, p_i)$ When the energy is written in this fashion

₩

it is generally termed the Hamiltonian of the system The variation of the Hamiltonian function takes the form

$$\delta H = \sum_{i=1,\mathcal{F}} \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \, \delta p_i + \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} \, \delta q_i \right) \tag{(\clubsuit)}$$

A comparison of Eqs. (\clubsuit) and (\diamondsuit) yields

$$\dot{q}_i = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}$$
 and $\dot{p}_i = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}$
for $i = 1, \dots, \mathcal{F}$

These $2\mathcal{F}$ first-order differential equations are known as Hamilton's equations Hamilton's equations are often a useful alternative to Lagrange's equations which take the form of \mathcal{F} second-order differential equations

1D Harmonic Oscillator

Consider a one-dimensional harmonic oscillator The kinetic and potential energies of the system are written and $T = (1/2) m \dot{x}^2$ $U = (1/2) k x^2$ $\checkmark x \iff$ is the displacement $= m \Leftrightarrow \text{ is the mass}$ $\land k > 0$ The generalized momentum conjugate to x is $p = \frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{x}} = m \, \dot{x} \Rightarrow T = \frac{1}{2} \, \frac{p^2}{m}$ The Hamiltonian of the system takes the form $H = T + U = \frac{1}{2} \frac{p^2}{m} + \frac{1}{2} k x^2$ Hamilton's equations yield $\dot{x} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} = \frac{p}{m}$ (which is just a restatement of the kinetic energy) $\dot{p} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = -kx$ (Newton's second law of motion for the system)

Motion in a Central Potential

Consider a particle of mass m moving in the central potential U(r)The kinetic energy is

$$T = \frac{1}{2} m \left(\dot{r}^2 + r^2 \, \dot{\theta}^2 \right)$$

 \Rightarrow $(r, \theta) \Leftrightarrow$ are plane polar coordinates The generalized momenta conjugate to r and θ are

The Hamiltonian of the system takes the form

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} \left(p_r^2 + \frac{p_\theta^2}{r^2} \right) + U(r)$$

Motion in a Central Potential (cont'd)

Hamilton's equations yield

$$\dot{r} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_r} = \frac{p_r}{m}$$
 and $\dot{\theta} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{\theta}} = \frac{p_{\theta}}{m r^2}$

(which are just restatements of the generalized momenta)

$$\dot{p}_r = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial r} = \frac{p_\theta^2}{m r^3} - \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \qquad (F)$$
$$\dot{p}_\theta = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \theta} = 0$$

The last equation implies that

$$\frac{p_{\theta}}{m} = r^2 \,\dot{\theta} = h \qquad (\kappa)$$

 $\Rightarrow h \Leftrightarrow is a constant$

This can be combined with Eq. (F) to give

$$\frac{\dot{p}_r}{m} = \ddot{r} = \frac{h^2}{r^3} - \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \qquad (\varkappa)$$

= V = U/mOf course \checkmark Eqs. (κ) and (\varkappa) are the conventional equations of motion for a particle moving in a central potential

Classical Mechanics

Motion in a Central Potential

Consider a particle of mass m moving in 2D in the central potential U(r)this is clearly a two degree of freedom dynamical system The particle's instantaneous position is most conveniently specified

₩

plane polar coordinates r and θ these are our two generalized coordinates The square of the particle's velocity can be written as

 $v^2 = \dot{r}^2 + (r\,\dot{\theta})^2$

the Lagrangian of the system takes the form

$$\mathfrak{L} = \frac{1}{2} m \left(\dot{r}^{2} + r^{2} \dot{\theta}^{2} \right) - U(r)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial \dot{r}} = m \, \dot{r} \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial r} = m \, r \, \dot{\theta}^2 - dU/dr$$
$$\frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial \dot{\theta}} = m \, r^2 \, \dot{\theta} \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial \theta} = 0$$

Luis Anchordoqui UWM

Fall 2006

Motion in a Central Potential (cont'd)

Equations of motion \sim Lagrange equations

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial \dot{r}}\right) - \frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial r} = 0 \qquad \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial \dot{\theta}}\right) - \frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial \theta} = 0$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}(m\,\dot{r}) - m\,r\,\dot{\theta}^{\,2} + \frac{dU}{dr} = 0$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(m\,r^{2}\,\dot{\theta}\right) = 0$$

$$\ddot{r} - r \,\dot{\theta}^{\,2} = -\frac{dV}{dr}$$
$$r^2 \,\dot{\theta} = h$$

$$= U/m$$

 $\Rightarrow h = \text{constant}$

rightarrow V

Luis Anchordoqui UWM

Classical Mechanics

Recall that

₩

The generalized coordinates q_j define an s dimensional configuration space with every point representing a state of the system Likewise

₩

The generalized momenta p_j define an s-dimensional momentum space representing a certain condition of motion of the system **Hamilton phase space** $\approx 2s$ dimensional space consisting of q_j and p_j which allows us to represent both the positions and the momenta of all particles If the position and momenta of all the particles in a system are known (at a given time)

\Downarrow

these quantities can be used as initial conditions $\approx (q_i(0), p_i(0))$

₩

the subsequent motion of the system is completely determined
 the representative point describing the system moves along a unique path phase

Fall 2006

Phase Diagrams

State of motion of 1-dimensional oscillator is completely specified by 2 quantities x(t) and $\dot{x}(t)$ (two quantities needed because differential equation of motion is second order) x(t) and $\dot{x}(t)$ define coordinates of points in a 2-dimensional space \downarrow The Phase Space In two dimensions the phase space is a phase plane for general oscillator with n degrees of freedom \approx 2n-dimensional phase space \blacktriangleleft As the time varies the point $P(x, \dot{x})$ describing the state of the oscillating particle will move along a certain phase path in the phase plane For different initial conditions the motion will be described by different paths The totality of all phase paths constitutes the phase diagram of the oscillator





Classical Mechanics

For complex systems with a large collection of particles rightarrow gas molecules 1 we are unable to identify the particular phase space point representing the system ₩ we must devise some alternative approach to study the dynamics of such sytems One possibility is to fill the phase space with a collection of points each representing a *possible* condition of the system 1 any of which could be the actual system We imagine a large number of systems each consistent with the known constraints We are unable to discuss the details of the particles' motion in the actual system ₩ we substitute a discussion of an ensamble of equivalent systems Each representative point corresponds to a single system of the ensamble the motion of a particular point represents the independent motion of that system

No two of the phase path may ever intersect!!!

THEOREM

- Joseph Liouville (1809-1882) -

The density of representative points in phase space corresponding to the motion of a system of particles remains constant during the motion

₩

Define a density in phase ρ

I must be sufficiently large to contain a large number of representative points The volume elements of the phase space defining the density

N must be also sufficiently small so that the density varies continuously

The number N of systems whose representative points lie within a volume dv is

 $N = \rho \, dv$

 $\swarrow dv = dq_1 dq_2 \dots dq_s dp_1 dp_2 \dots dp_s$ $\searrow s \Leftrightarrow$ number of degrees of freedom in the ensamble

Liouville's Theorem (cont'd)

Consider an element of area in the $q_k - p_k$ plane in phase space



the number of representative points moving across the left-hand edge into the area per unit time is

$$\rho \frac{dq_k}{dt} \, dp_k = \rho \dot{q}_k \, dp_k$$

and the number moving across the lower edge into the area per unit time is

$$\rho \frac{dp_k}{dt} \, dq_k = \rho \dot{p}_k \, dq_k$$

the total number of representative points moving into the area $dq_k dp_k$ per unit time is

$$\rho(\dot{q}_k \, dp_k + \dot{p}_k \, dq_k)$$

Luis Anchordoqui UWM

Liouville's Theorem (cont'd)

By Taylor series expansion the number of representative points moving out of the area per unit time is

$$\left[\rho \dot{q}_k + \frac{\partial}{\partial q_k} (\rho \dot{q}_k) \, dq_k\right] \, dp_k + \left[\rho \dot{p}_k + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} (\rho \dot{p}_k) \, dp_k\right] \, dq_k$$

the total increase in density in $dq_k dp_k$ per unit time is

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} dq_k dp_k = -\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial q_k}(\rho \dot{q}_k) + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k}(\rho \dot{p}_k)\right] dq_k dp_k$$

After dividing by $dq_k dp_k$ and summing this expression over all possible values of k

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \sum_{k=1}^{s} \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial q_k} \dot{q}_k + \rho \frac{\partial \dot{q}_k}{\partial q_k} + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial p_k} \dot{p}_k + \rho \frac{\partial \dot{p}_k}{\partial p_k} \right) = 0$$

If the second partial derivatives of H are continuos race Hamilton's equations yield

$$\frac{\partial \dot{q}_k}{\partial q_k} + \frac{\partial \dot{p}_k}{\partial p_k} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \sum_{k=1}^{s} \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial q_k} \frac{dq_k}{dt} + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial p_k} \frac{dp_k}{dt} \right) = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{d\rho}{dt} = 0$$

Luis Anchordoqui UWM

Fall 2006

Classical Mechanics

The Virial Theorem

Consider a collection of particles whose position vectors \vec{r}_{α} and momenta \vec{p}_{α} are bounded \iff i.e., remain finite at all times

Define a quantity

$$S \equiv \sum_{\alpha} \vec{p}_{\alpha} \ . \ \vec{r}_{\alpha}$$

₩

the time derivative of S is

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \sum_{\alpha} (\vec{p}_{\alpha} \cdot \dot{\vec{r}}_{\alpha} + \dot{\vec{p}}_{\alpha} \cdot \vec{r}_{\alpha})$$

₩

The average value of dS/dt over a time interval τ is

$$\left\langle \frac{dS}{dt} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau \frac{dS}{dt} dt$$
$$= \frac{S(\tau) - S(0)}{\tau}$$

The Virial Theorem (cont'd)

$$\left\langle \frac{dS}{dt} \right\rangle = \frac{S(\tau) - S(0)}{\tau}$$

If the system motion is periodic $\Rightarrow S(\tau) = S(0)$ and $\langle \dot{S} \rangle \Leftrightarrow$ vanishes If the system does not exhibit any periodicity

₩

we can make $\langle \dot{S} \rangle$ as small as desired by allowing $\tau \to \infty$

₩

in this limit

$$\left\langle \sum_{\alpha} \vec{p}_{\alpha} \cdot \dot{\vec{r}}_{\alpha} \right\rangle = -\left\langle \sum_{\alpha} \dot{\vec{p}}_{\alpha} \cdot \vec{r}_{\alpha} \right\rangle \Rightarrow \left\langle 2\sum_{\alpha} T_{\alpha} \right\rangle = -\left\langle \sum_{\alpha} \vec{F}_{\alpha} \cdot \vec{r}_{\alpha} \right\rangle$$

The sum over T_{α} is the total kinetic energy of the system

$$\langle T \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \left\langle \sum_{\alpha} \vec{F}_{\alpha} \cdot \vec{r}_{\alpha} \right\rangle$$
 (\mathfrak{V})

The rhs of Eq. (\mathfrak{V}) was called by Clausius (1822-1888) the virial of the system The average kinetic energy of a system of particles is equal to its virial

Classical Mechanics

Luis Anchordoqui UWM

The Virial Theorem (cont'd)

If the forces $ec{F}_{lpha}$ can be derived from potentials U_{lpha}

$$\langle T \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \sum_{\alpha} \vec{r}_{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\nabla} U_{\alpha} \right\rangle$$

If two particles interact according to a central power law force $F \propto r^n \lll U = k r^{n+1}$

↓

$$\vec{r} \cdot \vec{\nabla}U = r \frac{dU}{dr} = k(n+1)r^{n+1} = (n+1)U$$

and the Virial Theorem becomes

$$\langle T \rangle = \frac{n+1}{2} \langle U \rangle$$

If the particles have gravitational interaction $\Im n = -2$

Luis Anchordoqui UWM

23





Fall 2006