Astronomy, Astrophysics, and Cosmology

Luis A. Anchordoqui

Department of Physics and Astronomy Lehman College, City University of New York

> Lesson II February 16, 2016

arXiv:0706.1988

L. A. Anchordoqui (CUNY) [Astronomy, Astrophysics, and Cosmology](#page-23-0) 2-16-2016 1 / 24

Table of Contents

- Observational evidence that stars move at speeds ranging up to a few hundred kilometers per second
- E.g. ☞ relatively fast moving Barnard's star @ *D* ∼ 56 × 10¹² km moves across line of sight @ *v* ∼ 89 km/s
- Consequence ☞ proper motion shifts $\sim 0.0029^\circ/\rm yr$
- HST has measured proper motions as low as about 1 marcs/yr
- **•** In radio ☞ VLBA relative motions can be measured to an accuracy of ~ 0.2 marcs/yr
- Apparent position in sky of more distant stars changes so slowly that proper motion can't be detected

with even most patient observation

• However ☞ rate of approach or recession of star in line of sight can be measured much more accurately

than its \perp motion to line of sight

Technique uses familiar property of any sort of wave motion **Doppler effect**

L. A. Anchordoqui (CUNY) [Astronomy, Astrophysics, and Cosmology](#page-0-0) 2-16-2016 4 / 24

- When we observe sound or light wave from source at rest time between arrival wave crests at our instruments is same as time between crests as they leave source
- However · firsource is moving away from us time between arrivals of successive wave crests increases over time between departures from source because each crest has little farther to go on its journey to us than crest before
- Time between crests ☞ wavelength divided by wave speed so a wave sent out by a source moving away from us will appear to have longer wavelength than source ω rest
- **•** Likewise [®] if source is moving toward us time between arrivals of wave crests is decreased because each successive crest has shorter distance to go and waves appear to have shorter wavelength

redshift and blueshift

Weinberg's analogy

[Doppler Effect](#page-8-0)

 \diamond Consider two inertial frames S and S' moving with relative velocity v

 \diamondsuit Assume star @ rest in S' emits light @ (ν_0, θ_0) with respect to O' ✧ Momentum 4-vector for photon as seen in *S*

$$
p^{\mu} = \left(\frac{h\nu}{c}, -\frac{h\nu}{c}\cos\theta, -\frac{h\nu}{c}\sin\theta, 0\right)
$$
 (1)

✧ Momentum 4-vector for photon as seen in *S* 0

$$
p_0^{\mu} = \left(\frac{h\nu_0}{c}, -\frac{h\nu_0}{c}\cos\theta_0, -\frac{h\nu_0}{c}\sin\theta_0, 0\right)
$$
 (2)

L. A. Anchordoqui (CUNY) [Astronomy, Astrophysics, and Cosmology](#page-0-0) 2-16-2016 9 / 24

[Doppler Effect](#page-9-0)

 \Diamond Apply inverse LT to get 4-momentum relation from $S' \to S$

$$
\frac{hv}{c} = \gamma \left[\frac{hv_0}{c} + \beta \left(-\frac{hv_0}{c} \cos \theta_0 \right) \right]
$$

$$
-\frac{hv}{c} \cos \theta = \gamma \left(-\frac{hv_0}{c} \cos \theta_0 + \beta \frac{hv_0}{c} \right)
$$

$$
\frac{hv}{c} \sin \theta = \frac{hv_0}{c} \sin \theta_0
$$
(3)

✧ First expression gives relativistic Doppler formula

$$
\nu = \nu_0 \gamma (1 - \beta \cos \theta_0) \tag{4}
$$

 \Diamond For observational astronomy [\(4\)](#page-9-1) is not useful because (ν_0, θ_0) refer to the star's frame not that of observer \Leftrightarrow Apply instead direct LT $S \to S'$ to photon energy

$$
\nu_0 = \gamma \nu (1 + \beta \cos \theta) \tag{5}
$$

which gives v_0 in terms of quantities measured by observer

L. A. Anchordoqui (CUNY) [Astronomy, Astrophysics, and Cosmology](#page-0-0) 2-16-2016 10 / 24

Special cases

 $\theta_0 = 0$ **as source moving away from the observer**

$$
\nu = \nu_0 \sqrt{(1 - \beta)/(1 + \beta)}\tag{6}
$$

Non-relativistic limit ^{*ω*} *ν* = *ν*₀(1 − *β*)

 $\theta_0 = \pi$ **s** source moving towards observer

$$
\nu = \nu_0 \sqrt{(1+\beta)/(1-\beta)}\tag{7}
$$

 $\theta_0 = \pi/2$ **®** transverse Doppler effect

$$
\nu = \nu_0 \gamma \tag{8}
$$

2nd order relativistic effect

arising from dilation of time in moving frame

Search for exoplanets

✧ As planet orbits star ☞ star has its own orbit around CM system ✧ Radial velocity method: Variations in star's radial velocity detected from displacements in star's spectral lines due to Doppler effect

✧ Photometric method: If planet crosses in front of its parent star's disk observed brightness drops by small amount

- Stars appear unchanging
- Night after night heavens reveal no significant variations
- On human time scales · majority of stars change very little
- We cannot follow any but tiniest part of star life cycle

Star formation

- Stars are born when gaseous clouds (mostly hydrogen) contract due to pull of gravity
- Huge gas cloud fragments into numerous contracting masses
- **Each mass is centered in area** where density is only slightly greater than $@$ nearby points
- Once such "globules" formed gravity would cause each to contract in towards its CM
- As particles of such protostar accelerate inward

their kinetic energy increases

- When kinetic energy is sufficiently high Coulomb repulsion \mathbb{F} not strong enough to keep ¹H nuclei appart and nuclear fussion can take place
- **•** In star like our Sun "burning" of ¹H occurs when $4p$ fuse to form ²He nucleus with release of: γ , e^+ , ν_e

[Stellar Evolution](#page-14-0)

M16 a.k.a. Eagle Nebula located \approx 7,000 ly away

[Stellar Evolution](#page-15-0)

HST's pillars of creation

L. A. Anchordoqui (CUNY) [Astronomy, Astrophysics, and Cosmology](#page-0-0) 2-16-2016 16 / 24

Sun's energy output

• *pp* cycle due to following sequence of fusion reactions:

$$
{}_{1}^{1}H + {}_{1}^{1}H \rightarrow {}_{1}^{2}H + 2 e^{+} + 2 \nu_{e} \qquad (0.42 \text{ MeV})
$$
 (9)

$$
{}_{1}^{1}H + {}_{1}^{2}H \rightarrow {}_{2}^{3}He + \gamma
$$
 (5.49 MeV) (10)

$$
{}_{2}^{3}\text{He} + {}_{2}^{3}\text{He} \rightarrow {}_{2}^{4}\text{He} + {}_{1}^{1}\text{H} + {}_{1}^{1}\text{H}
$$
 (12.86 MeV) (11)

- Released energy \geq mass difference between initial & final states \triangleright carried off by outgoing particles
- Net effect ${}_{1}^{1}\text{H} \rightarrow {}_{2}^{4}\text{He} + 2e^{+} + 2\nu_{e} + 2\gamma$ (12)
- Takes 2 of each of first 2 reactions to produce two $\frac{3}{2}$ He
- Total energy released for net reaction ☞ 24.7 MeV
- e^+ quickly annihilates with e^- to produce 2 $m_ec^2=$ 1.02 MeV so total energy released ☞ 26.7 MeV
- Deuterium formation has very low probability infrequency of reaction limits rate at which Sun produces energy

In more massive stars...

- Energy output comes from the carbon (or CNO) cycle
- CNO cycle comprises following sequence of reactions:

$$
{}^{12}_{6}C + {}^{1}_{1}H \rightarrow {}^{13}_{7}N + \gamma
$$
 (13)

$$
{}^{13}_{7}N \rightarrow {}^{13}_{6}C + e^{+} + \nu
$$
 (14)

$$
{}^{13}_{6}C + {}^{1}_{1}H \rightarrow {}^{14}_{7}N + \gamma
$$
 (15)

$$
{}^{14}_{7}N + {}^{1}_{1}H \rightarrow {}^{15}_{8}O + \gamma
$$
 (16)

$$
{}^{15}_{8}O \to {}^{15}_{7}N + e^{+} + \nu
$$
 (17)

$$
{}^{15}_{7}N + {}^{1}_{1}H \rightarrow {}^{12}_{6}C + {}^{4}_{2}He
$$
 (18)

- No carbon is consumed in this cycle (see first and last equations)
- Net effect is the same as the *pp* cycle
- Theory of the *pp* and CNO cyles first worked out by Bethe in 1939

L. A. Anchordoqui (CUNY) [Astronomy, Astrophysics, and Cosmology](#page-0-0) 2-16-2016 19 / 24

- Fusion reactions take place in star core ☞ *T* ∼ 10⁷ K
- Surface temperature is much lower ∞ (few thousand K)
- **•** Tremendous release of energy in these fusion reactions produces outward pressure to halt inward gravitational contraction protostar (now really a young star) stabilizes in main sequence
- Stellar structure on main sequence ☞ described by spherically symmetric system in hydrostatic equilibrium
- This requires that rotation, convection, magnetic fields, and other effects that break rotational symmetry have only a minor influence on the star

✧ *M*(*r*) ☞ mass enclosed inside sphere with radius *r* and density *ρ*(*r*)

$$
M(r) = 4\pi \int_0^r dr' \ r'^2 \ \rho(r') \Rightarrow \frac{dM(r)}{dr} = 4\pi r^2 \rho(r) \tag{19}
$$

✧ Gravitational acceleration produced by *M*(*r*) is

$$
g(r) = -\frac{GM(r)}{r^2}
$$
 (20)

✧ If star is in equilibrium ☞ acceleration balanced by pressure gradient from center of star to its surface ✧ Since *P* = *F*/*A* ☞ pressure change along distance *dr* yields

$$
dF = dAP - (P + dP)dA
$$

=
$$
-\underbrace{dAdP}_{\text{force}} = -\underbrace{\rho(r)dAdr}_{\text{mass}} \underbrace{a(r)}_{\text{acceleration}}
$$
 (21)

✧ For increasing *r* ☞ gradient *dP* < 0

and resulting *dF* is positive and directed outward

L. A. Anchordoqui (CUNY) [Astronomy, Astrophysics, and Cosmology](#page-0-0) 2-16-2016 21 / 24

✧ Hydrostatic equilibrium ☞ *g*(*r*) = −*a*(*r*)

$$
\frac{dP}{dr} = \rho(r)g(r) = -\frac{GM(r)\ \rho(r)}{r^2}
$$
\n(22)

 \Diamond If the pressure gradient and gravity do not balance each other layer at position *r* is accelerated

$$
a(r) = \frac{GM(r)}{r^2} + \frac{1}{\rho(r)} \frac{dP}{dr}
$$
 (23)

✧ In general ☞ equation of state *P* = *P*(*ρ*, *T*,*Yi*)

L. A. Anchordoqui (CUNY) [Astronomy, Astrophysics, and Cosmology](#page-0-0) 2-16-2016 22 / 24

 \diamond Estimate of central pressure ☞ $P_c = P(0)$ integrate [\(22\)](#page-21-1) using [\(19\)](#page-20-1) and obtain with $P(R) \approx 0$

$$
P_c = \int_0^R \frac{dP}{dr} dr = G \int_0^M dM \frac{M}{4\pi r^4},
$$
 (24)

✧ *P^c* lower limit ☞ replace *r* by stellar radius *R* ≥ *r*

$$
P_c = G \int_0^M dM \frac{M}{4\pi r^4} > G \int_0^M dM \frac{M}{4\pi R^4} = \frac{M^2}{8\pi R^4}
$$
 (25)

 \Leftrightarrow Inserting values for Sun

$$
P_c > \frac{M^2}{8\pi R^4} = 4 \times 10^8 \text{ bar} \left(\frac{M}{M_\odot}\right)^2 \left(\frac{R_\odot}{R}\right)^4 \tag{26}
$$

Integrating hydrostatic equation using "solar standard model" $P_c = 2.48 \times 10^{11}$ bar ☞ factor 500 larger

L. A. Anchordoqui (CUNY) [Astronomy, Astrophysics, and Cosmology](#page-0-0) 2-16-2016 23 / 24

Litterature: Except in the continued \ldots