Astronomy, Astrophysics, and Cosmology

Luis A. Anchordoqui

Department of Physics and Astronomy Lehman College, City University of New York

> Lesson II February 16, 2016

arXiv:0706.1988

L. A. Anchordoqui (CUNY)







- Observational evidence that stars move at speeds ranging up to a few hundred kilometers per second
- E.g. relatively fast moving Barnard's star @ $D \sim 56 \times 10^{12}$ km moves across line of sight @ $v \sim 89$ km/s
- Consequence $red red proper motion shifts ~ 0.0029^{\circ}/yr$
- HST has measured proper motions as low as about 1 marcs/yr
- In radio \bowtie VLBA relative motions can be measured to an accuracy of ~ 0.2 marcs/yr
- Apparent position in sky of more distant stars changes so slowly that proper motion can't be detected

with even most patient observation

 However rate of approach or recession of star in line of sight can be measured much more accurately

than its \perp motion to line of sight

• Technique uses familiar property of any sort of wave motion **Doppler effect** Barnard's star



L. A. Anchordoqui (CUNY)

- When we observe sound or light wave from source at rest time between arrival wave crests at our instruments is same as time between crests as they leave source
- However refine it source is moving away from us time between arrivals of successive wave crests increases over time between departures from source because each crest has little farther to go on its journey to us than crest before
- Time between crests rest wavelength divided by wave speed so a wave sent out by a source moving away from us will appear to have longer wavelength than source @ rest
- Likewise r if source is moving toward us time between arrivals of wave crests is decreased because each successive crest has shorter distance to go and waves appear to have shorter wavelength

redshift and blueshift



Weinberg's analogy





 \diamond Consider two inertial frames S and S' moving with relative velocity v



♦ Assume star @ rest in S' emits light @ (ν_0, θ_0) with respect to O'
♦ Momentum 4-vector for photon as seen in S

$$p^{\mu} = \left(\frac{h\nu}{c}, -\frac{h\nu}{c}\cos\theta, -\frac{h\nu}{c}\sin\theta, 0\right)$$
(1)

♦ Momentum 4-vector for photon as seen in S'

$$p_0^{\mu} = \left(\frac{h\nu_0}{c}, -\frac{h\nu_0}{c}\cos\theta_0, -\frac{h\nu_0}{c}\sin\theta_0, 0\right)$$
(2)

♦ Apply inverse LT to get 4-momentum relation from $S' \rightarrow S$

$$\frac{h\nu}{c} = \gamma \left[\frac{h\nu_0}{c} + \beta \left(-\frac{h\nu_0}{c} \cos \theta_0 \right) \right]$$
$$-\frac{h\nu}{c} \cos \theta = \gamma \left(-\frac{h\nu_0}{c} \cos \theta_0 + \beta \frac{h\nu_0}{c} \right)$$
$$\frac{h\nu}{c} \sin \theta = \frac{h\nu_0}{c} \sin \theta_0$$

First expression gives relativistic Doppler formula

$$\nu = \nu_0 \gamma (1 - \beta \cos \theta_0) \tag{4}$$

♦ For observational astronomy (4) is not useful because (ν₀, θ₀) refer to the star's frame not that of observer
 ♦ Apply instead direct LT S → S' to photon energy

$$\nu_0 = \gamma \nu (1 + \beta \cos \theta) \tag{5}$$

which gives v_0 in terms of quantities measured by observer

L. A. Anchordoqui (CUNY)

Astronomy, Astrophysics, and Cosmology

(3)

Special cases

• $\theta_0 = 0$ resource moving away from the observer

$$\nu = \nu_0 \sqrt{(1-\beta)/(1+\beta)}$$

Non-relativistic limit $rac{1}{\nu} = \nu_0(1-\beta)$

• $\theta_0 = \pi$ represented a source moving towards observer

$$\nu = \nu_0 \sqrt{(1+\beta)/(1-\beta)}$$

• $\theta_0 = \pi/2$ regression transverse Doppler effect

$$=\nu_0\gamma$$
 (8)

2nd order relativistic effect arising from dilation of time in moving frame

V

(6)

Search for exoplanets

 As planet orbits star star has its own orbit around CM system
 Radial velocity method: Variations in star's radial velocity detected from displacements in star's spectral lines due to Doppler effect

Photometric method: If planet crosses in front of its parent star's disk observed brightness drops by small amount

- Stars appear unchanging
- Night after night heavens reveal no significant variations
- On human time scales 🖙 majority of stars change very little
- We cannot follow any but tiniest part of star life cycle



Star formation

- Stars are born when gaseous clouds (mostly hydrogen) contract due to pull of gravity
- Huge gas cloud fragments into numerous contracting masses
- Each mass is centered in area where density is only slightly greater than @ nearby points
- Once such "globules" formed gravity would cause each to contract in towards its CM
- As particles of such protostar accelerate inward

their kinetic energy increases

- When kinetic energy is sufficiently high Coulomb repulsion repulsion repulsion and strong enough to keep ¹H nuclei appart and nuclear fussion can take place
- In star like our Sun
 "burning" of ¹H occurs when 4p fuse to form ²He nucleus

with release of: γ , e^+ , ν_e

Stellar Evolution

M16 a.k.a. Eagle Nebula located \approx 7,000 ly away



Stellar Evolution

HST's pillars of creation



L. A. Anchordoqui (CUNY)

Sun's energy output

• *pp* cycle due to following sequence of fusion reactions:

$${}_{1}^{1}H + {}_{1}^{1}H \rightarrow {}_{1}^{2}H + 2e^{+} + 2\nu_{e}$$
 (0.42 MeV) (9)

$$^{1}H + ^{2}_{1}H \rightarrow ^{3}_{2}He + \gamma$$
 (5.49 MeV) (10)

$${}_{2}^{3}\text{He} + {}_{2}^{3}\text{He} \rightarrow {}_{2}^{4}\text{He} + {}_{1}^{1}\text{H} + {}_{1}^{1}\text{H}$$
 (12.86 MeV) (11)

- Released energy >> mass difference between initial & final states
 >> carried off by outgoing particles
- Net effect $4_1^1 H \rightarrow _2^4 He + 2e^+ + 2\nu_e + 2\gamma$ (12)
- Takes 2 of each of first 2 reactions to produce two ³₂He
- Total energy released for net reaction ☞ 24.7 MeV
- e^+ quickly annihilates with e^- to produce $2m_ec^2 = 1.02$ MeV so total energy released $rac{10}{2}$ 26.7 MeV
- Deuterium formation has very low probability infrequency of reaction limits rate at which Sun produces energy

In more massive stars...

- Energy output comes from the carbon (or CNO) cycle
- CNO cycle comprises following sequence of reactions:

$${}^{12}_{6}C + {}^{1}_{1}H \rightarrow {}^{13}_{7}N + \gamma$$
 (13)

$${}^{13}_{7}N \to {}^{13}_{6}C + e^+ + \nu \tag{14}$$

$${}^{13}_{6}C + {}^{1}_{1}H \rightarrow {}^{14}_{7}N + \gamma$$
(15)

$${}^{14}_{\not P} N + {}^{1}_{1} H \to {}^{15}_{8} O + \gamma$$
 (16)

$$^{15}_{8}O \rightarrow ^{15}_{7}N + e^{+} + \nu$$
 (17)

$${}^{15}_{7}N + {}^{1}_{1}H \rightarrow {}^{12}_{6}C + {}^{4}_{2}He$$
 (18)

- No carbon is consumed in this cycle (see first and last equations)
- Net effect is the same as the *pp* cycle
- Theory of the *pp* and CNO cyles first worked out by Bethe in 1939



L. A. Anchordoqui (CUNY)

Astronomy, Astrophysics, and Cosmology

2-16-2016 19 / 24

- Fusion reactions take place in star core $rac{} T \sim 10^7
 m K$
- Surface temperature is much lower $\mathbb{S} \mathcal{O}(\text{few thousand } K)$
- Tremendous release of energy in these fusion reactions produces outward pressure to halt inward gravitational contraction protostar (now really a young star) stabilizes in main sequence
- Stellar structure on main sequence ☞ described by spherically symmetric system in hydrostatic equilibrium
- This requires that rotation, convection, magnetic fields, and other effects that break rotational symmetry have only a minor influence on the star

4 M(r) radius r mass enclosed inside sphere with radius r and density $\rho(r)$

$$M(r) = 4\pi \int_0^r dr' \, r'^2 \, \rho(r') \Rightarrow \frac{dM(r)}{dr} = 4\pi r^2 \rho(r)$$
(19)

 \Rightarrow Gravitational acceleration produced by M(r) is

$$g(r) = -\frac{GM(r)}{r^2}$$
(20)

♦ If star is in equilibrium I acceleration balanced by pressure gradient from center of star to its surface
♦ Since P = F/A I pressure change along distance *dr* yields

$$dF = dAP - (P + dP)dA$$

= $-\underbrace{dAdP}_{\text{force}} = -\underbrace{\rho(r)dAdr}_{\text{mass}} \underbrace{a(r)}_{\text{acceleration}}$ (21)

♦ For increasing r is gradient dP < 0

and resulting dF is positive and directed outward

L. A. Anchordoqui (CUNY)

Astronomy, Astrophysics, and Cosmology

2-16-2016 21 / 24

♦ Hydrostatic equilibrium $\bowtie g(r) = -a(r)$

$$\frac{dP}{dr} = \rho(r)g(r) = -\frac{GM(r)\ \rho(r)}{r^2}$$
(22)

If the pressure gradient and gravity do not balance each other layer at position r is accelerated

$$a(r) = \frac{GM(r)}{r^2} + \frac{1}{\rho(r)}\frac{dP}{dr}$$
(23)





L. A. Anchordoqui (CUNY)

♦ Estimate of central pressure I P_c = P(0)integrate (22) using (19) and obtain with $P(R) \approx 0$

$$P_{c} = \int_{0}^{R} \frac{dP}{dr} dr = G \int_{0}^{M} dM \frac{M}{4\pi r^{4}},$$
 (24)

♦ P_c lower limit replace r by stellar radius $R \ge r$

$$P_{c} = G \int_{0}^{M} dM \frac{M}{4\pi r^{4}}$$

> $G \int_{0}^{M} dM \frac{M}{4\pi R^{4}} = \frac{M^{2}}{8\pi R^{4}}$ (25)

Inserting values for Sun

$$P_c > \frac{M^2}{8\pi R^4} = 4 \times 10^8 \operatorname{bar}\left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{R_{\odot}}{R}\right)^4$$
(26)

♦ Integrating hydrostatic equation using "solar standard model" $P_c = 2.48 \times 10^{11} \text{ bar } \text{ Im factor 500 larger}$

L. A. Anchordoqui (CUNY)



to be continued ...