Physics 307

Mathematical Physics

Luis Anchordoqui

Tuesday, January 27, 15 11 12 12 13 13 14 15 16 17 17 18 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 17 17 1

ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS I 3.1 Setting the Stage 3.2 Initial Value Problem 3.3 Boundary Value Problem 3.4 Fourier Analysis Picard's existence and uniqueness theorem Systems of first-order linear differential equations Green matrix as a generalized function Self-adjointness of Sturm-Liouville operator Fourier transform Fourier series Green function of Sturm-Liouville operator Series solutions to homogeneous linear equations

Definition 3.1.1. SETTING THE STAGE

A differential equation is an equation for an unknown function of one (or several) variable(s) that expresses a relationship between the function itself and its derivatives of various orders Example 3.1.1.

Law of radioactive decay

$$
\frac{dN(t)}{dt} = -kN(t) \qquad \textbf{(3.1.1.)}
$$

Example 3.1.2.

Newton's second law for a particle of constant mass

$$
m\frac{d^2\mathbf{r}}{dt^2} = \mathbf{F}\left(t, \mathbf{r}, \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt}\right)
$$
 (3.1.2.)

Note that because of the vector nature of unknown function this is actually a system of three coupled equations Example 3.1.3. $(3.1.3.)$ Laplace equation for electrostatic potential in absence of charges $\nabla^2 \phi(x,y,z) = \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2}$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} +$ $\partial^2\phi$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial y^2} +$ $\partial^2\phi$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial z^2} = 0$

Definition 3.1.2.

An ordinary differential equation is a d.e. in which all derivatives are with respect to a single independent variable

Examples include equations (3.1.1.) and (3.1.2)

Definition 3.1.3.

A partial differential equation is a d.e. that involves two or more independent variables an unknown function (dependent on those variables) and partial derivatives of the unknown function with respect to the independent variables

An example is given in equation (3.1.3)

Definition 3.1.4. The order of a differential equation is order of highest derivative involved

Definition 3.1.5.

A solution to a d.e. is a function that solves the equation or turns it into an identity when substituted into the equation Example 3.1.4. $N(t) = Ce^{-kt}$ \vdash **is a solution of (3.1.1.)** $(3.1.4.)$ arbitrary constant C can be determined N is given at some particular time $N(0)=N_0$ (3.1.5.) Then $C = N_0$ yielding $\blacktriangleright N(t) = N_0 \, e^{-kt}$ if the value of $dN(t)$ *dt* $= -C k e^{-kt} = -k N(t)$

Equation (3.1.1) together with initial condition (3.1.5.) define an initial value problem

Definition 3.1.6.

Process of finding solutions of a d.e. is known as resolution or integration of the equation

Such a process can be simple ☛ as in example above but in generalone has to rely on approximate methods that end up with a numerical integration Sometimes we only want to understand certain solutions properties like system's behavior for small variation of initial conditions or obtain a global idea of derivative fields & equipotential curves

Resolution of a d.e. of order n requires n integrations and therefore integration constants must be determined

This leads to following definition

Definition 3.1.7.

A solution in which one or more integration constants take a particular value is called a particular solution of the d.e.

A solution is called general

if it contains all particular solutions of the d.e. that is \blacksquare n integration constants are left undetermined Any ordinary differential equation of order *n* can be written in the general form: Definition 3.1.8.

$$
F\left(t, u, \frac{du}{dt}, \dots, \frac{d^n u}{dt^n}\right) = 0 \quad (3.1.6.)
$$

where u \leftarrow is the unknown function

Definition 3.1.9.

The degree of a d.e. is power of highest derivative term However ☛ not every differential equation has a degree If derivatives occur within radicals or fractions d.e. may not have a degree

If equation can be rationalized and cleared of fractions with with regard to all derivatives present then its degree is the degree of highest ordered derivative

Example 3.1.5.
\n
$$
\left[\frac{d^2f(x)}{dx^2}\right]^{2/3} = 2 + 3\frac{df(x)}{dx}
$$
 (3.1.7.)

can be rationalized by cubing both sides to obtain

$$
\left[\frac{d^2f(x)}{dx^2}\right]^2 = \left(2 + 3\frac{df(x)}{dx}\right)^3 \qquad (3.1.8.)
$$

hence it is of degree two

Definition 3.1.10.

 $F(t, \lambda u, \lambda \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \ldots \lambda \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} u) = \lambda^p F(t, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \ldots \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} u)$ (3.1.9.) A d.e. is called homogeneous of degree *p* if multiplying $u(t)$ and all its derivatives by a parameter λ leads to for arbitrary *p* That is ☛ *F* is a homogeneous function of degree *p* on the unknown variable and all its derivatives Definition 3.1.11. A differential equation is said to be linear if *F* can be written as a linear combination of $\;u\;$ and its derivatives $\mathsf{together}$ with a constant term (all possibly depending on t) Example 3.1.6. The d.e. given in (3.1.1.) is linear Example 3.1.7. The system of coupled equations (3.1.2.) would be linear if and only if \bf{F} is a linear function of \bf{r} and $d\bf{r}/dt$ $\left(t, \lambda u, \lambda \frac{du}{dt} \dots \lambda \frac{d^{(n)}u}{dt^n}\right)$ *dtⁿ* ◆ $= \lambda^p F$ $\sqrt{2}$ *t, u,* $\frac{du}{dt}$ \cdots $\frac{d^{(n)}u}{dt^{n}}$ *dtⁿ* ◆

Definition 3.1.12.

For a scalar function *u* the most general form of linear ordinary differential equation is

 $a_n(t)$ $d^n u$ *dtⁿ* $+a_{n-1}(t)$ $d^{n-1}u$ $\frac{d}{dt^{n-1}} + \cdots + a_0(t)u = f(t), \qquad a_n(t) \neq 0$ $(3.1.10.)$

Equation (3.1.10) can be rewritten as

$$
L[u] = f(t), \qquad L = \sum_{m=0}^{n} a_m(t) \frac{d^m}{dt^m}
$$

where *L* is a differential linear operator That is \blacktriangleright if c_1, c_2 are constants and $u_1(t),\; u_2(t)$ are n times differentiable functions

 $L[c_1u_1(t) + c_2u_2(t)] = c_1L[u_1(t)] + c_2L[u_2(t)]$ (3.1.12.)

 $\forall c_1, c_2, u_1(t), u_2(t)$

 $(3.1.11.)$

Example 3.1.8. Using our definition 3.1.10 ☛ (3.1.10) will be homogeneous if and only if $f(t)=0$ If this were case ☛ equation will be homogeneous of degree *n* If $f(t) \neq 0$ linear equation will be inhomogeneous Definition 3.1.13. [superposition principle] If u_1 and u_2 are solutions of homogeneous equation (i.e. $L[u_1] = L[u_2] = 0$) then $u(t) = c_1 u_1(t) + c_2 u_2(t)$ (3.1.13.) is also a solution of homogeneous equation $\forall c_1, c_2$ because of (3.1.12.) Solutions of the homogeneous d.e. comprise vector space over $\mathbb R$ (i) an ordinary linear d.e. has n particular solutions (ii) general solution of the homogeneous equation is a linear combination of n particular solutions which are linearly independent

Corollary 3.1.1.

General solution of linear inhomogeneous equation (3.1.10.) is given by sum of general solution of homogeneous equation and a particular solution to inhomogeneous equation Proof.

Using superposition principle Let *up*(*t*) be a particular solution of inhomogeneous equation *n* general solution of homogeneous equation is given by

$$
u_h(t) = \sum_{i=1} c_i u_{h,i}(t)
$$
 (3.1.14.)

where *uh,i* are *n* particular solutions of homogeneous equation Consider $u(t) = u_p(t) + u_h(t)$ recalling that L is linear

$$
L[u(t)] = L[u_p(t) + u_h(t)] = L[u_p(t)] + L[u_h(t)]
$$

 $= L[u_p(t)] + \sum c_i L[u_{h,i}(t)] = f(t) + 0 = f(t)$ (3.1.15.) *n i*=1 Therefore ☛ *u*(*t*) is a solution of inhomogeneous equation Since it has n undetermined constant it is general solution of inhomogeneous equation

Definition 3.1.14.

A first-order ordinary differential equation is of the form

du dt $= f(t, u)$ (3.1.16.)

If $f(t, \bar{u})$ does not depend on u \blacktriangleright then (3.1.16.) becomes *du*

$$
\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = f(t) \qquad \qquad (3.1.17.)
$$

and the general solution reads

$$
u(t) = \int f(t') \, dt' + c \quad (3.1.18.)
$$

where *c* is the so-called integration constant Constant c can be determined if we know the initial condition i.e. if $u(t_0)=u_0$ then $u(t) = \int^t$ t_{0} $f(t') dt' + u_0$ $(3.1.19.)$

Definition 3.1.15.

A first-order differential equation is called separable if $f(t,u)=h(t)g(u)$ \blacktriangleright (3.1.16.) becomes *du dt* $\bm{h}(t)g(u)$ (3.1.20.) If $g(u) \neq 0$ you can separate variables as *du* $g(u)$ $= h(t)dt$ and then integrate both sides to get Z *du* $g(u)$ = Z $h(t)dt + c$ This equation (of form $\phi(t,u) = c$) d etermines u as an implicitly-defined function t (3.1.21.) (3.1.22.)

The constant of integration is chosen from a particular solution

 $u(t_0) = u_0$ with $g(u_0) \neq 0$

$$
\int_{u_0}^u \frac{du'}{g(u')} = \int_{t_0}^t h(t')dt' \qquad \text{(3.1.23.)}
$$

If in addition there are roots u_r such that $g(u_r)=0$ one should add to (3.1.22.) the constant solutions

 $u(t) = u_r, \quad \text{with} \quad g(u_r) = 0 \quad \text{(3.1.24.)}$

which do not necessarily follow from (3.1.23) and (3.1.22) but are undoubtedly solutions of (3.1.20.)

 $N(t) \neq 0$ Example 3.1.9. If $N(t) \neq 0$ – (3.1.1.) can be rewritten as $\frac{dN(t)}{dt}$ *N* $= -kdt$ (3.1.25.) integration leads to $\int dN$ *N* $=$ $\ln |N| = -$ Z $k \, dt + c = -kt + c$ (3.1.26.)

or equivalently $N(t)=c^{\prime}\,e^{-kt}$ (3.1.27.) $c' = \pm e^c$ If $N(t_0) = N_0 \Rightarrow c' = N_0 e^{kt_0}$ and therefore with

 $N(t)=N_0\,e^{-k(t-t_0)}$ (3.1.28.)

for $k>0$ - gives formula of radioactive decay for $k < 0$ r formula for exponential growth of bacteria colonies Previous calculation is valid for $N_0\neq 0$ for $N_0=0$ one recovers constant solution of (3.1.1.) namely \bullet $N(t)=0$ $\forall t$ which implies $c'=0 (c \rightarrow -\infty)$

Definition 3.1.16.

A first order linear differential equation has form of (3.1.16) with $f(t, u)$ a linear function of u *du dt* $a(t) + b(t)u$ (3.1.29.) Note that (3.1.29) can be rewritten as $L[u] = a(t)$ where $L=\,$ *d* $\frac{d}{dt} - b(t)$ is a linear operator **1f** $a(t)=0$ then (3.1.29.) is an homogeneous equation of separated variables *du u* $= b(t) dt$ Integration of left-hand side leads to $\ln |u(t)| =$ $b(t)dt + c'$ (3.1.32.) $u(t)=ce$ or equivalently $u(t) = ce^{\int b(t) \, dt}$ (3.1.30.) (3.1.31.) (3.1.33.)

 $(3.1.34.)$ Setting $\overline{u(t_0)} = \overline{u_0}$ we have $u(t) = u_0e$ \int_{t}^{t} *t*0 $b(t')dt'$

If $a\neq 0$ we can use method of variation of parameters (a.k.a. variation of constants)

We envisage a solution of of form (3.1.33.) but with c being a function of t

$$
u(t) = uh(t) c(t) \qquad (3.1.35.)
$$

 $L[u] = L[u_h(t)]c(t) + u_h(t)\frac{dU}{dt} = u_h(t)\frac{dU}{dt} = a(t)$ (3.1.36.) where $u_h(t)=e$ $\int b(t)dt$ Since $L[u_h(t)] = 0$ it follows that *dc dt* $=u_h(t)$ *dc dt* $= a(t)$ $c(t) = \int \frac{a(t)}{t}$ $u_h(t)$ $dt + c⁰$ and so (3.1.37.)

Substituting in (3.1.35.)

$$
u(t) = uh(t) \left[c' + \int \frac{a(t)}{uh(t)} dt \right]
$$

= $e^{\int b(t)dt} \left[c' + \int e^{-\int b(t)dt} a(t) dt \right]$ (3.1.38.)

General solution is then a solution of homogeneous equation plus particular solution of inhomogeneous equation Particular solution for $u(t_0) = u_0$ reads

$$
u(t) = e^{\int_{t_0}^t b(t')dt'} \left[u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t e^{-\int_{t_0}^{t'} b(t'')dt''} a(t')dt' \right]
$$

= $K(t, t_0) u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t K(t, t') a(t') dt'$ (3.1.39.)

where $K(t_2,t_1)=e$ $\int_{t}^{t} 2$ *t*1 $b(t)dt = u_h(t_2)/u_h(t_1)$ Note that \blacksquare $K(t,t)=1$

Example 3.1.10.

Consider a series resistor-inductor $(R-L)$ circuit driven by a voltage source $V(t)$

 $\frac{d}{dt} + IR = V(t)$

 $L\frac{dI}{dt} + IR = V(t)$ (3.1.40.)

The complete response to input voltage is described by

where I is current Solution for $I(0) = I_0$ with L and R constants is

$$
I(t) = I_0 e^{-Rt/L} + \int_0^t e^{-R(t-t')/L} V(t') dt' \quad (3.1.41.)
$$

Initial Value Problem

3.2.1. Existence and uniqueness of solutions Consider the first-order ordinary differential equation

$$
\frac{du}{dt} = f(t, u) \qquad \textbf{(3.2.42.)}
$$

with initial condition

$$
u(t_0) = u_0 \t\t(3.2.43.)
$$

Except for some special cases We then have to resort on approximate methods We must first be sure that for given $f(t,u)$ and initial condition The following theorem shows that a solution exists The theorem also provides an approximate solution of (3.2.42.) there is indeed a solution of (3.2.42.) that can be used to solve (3.2.42.) numerically it is not usually possible to obtain analytical solution of (3.2.42) and is unique for a very wide class of functions which turns out to be useful both formally and numerically

Theorem 3.2.1. [Picard's theorem] r_i [>]icard's the ! ^t 'emJ

Let $f(t,u)$ be a continuous function in the rectangle \blacksquare

[∂]^u *exista y este acotada en ´* R *dado que, por el teorema del valor medio, si* |fu| ≤ N

$$
R = \{t, u/|t - t_0| \le a, |u - u_0| \le b\}
$$

Let's further assume that f satisfies Lipschitz condition in R $|f(t, u_2) - f(t, u_1)| \le N |u_2 - u_1|$ (3.2.44.) Sufficient condition for Lipschitz inequality (3.2.44.) to hold is that $f_u = \partial f / \partial u$ exists and is bounded in R Indeed \blacktriangleright if $|f_u| \leq N$ in R it follows that $|f(t, u_2) - f(t, u_1)| = |f_u(t, \xi)(u_2 - u_1)| \le N|u_2 - u_1|$ with $\xi \in [u_1, u_2]$ $(3.2.47)$

Then \blacktriangleright in the interval

 $|t-t_0| \le r$, $r = \min[a, b/M]$ (3.2.45.) there exits a unique solution *u*(*t*) satisfying d.e. (3.2.42.) where M is maximum value of f in R Condition $|t-t_0|\leq r$ entails solution will remain in R Indeed \blacktriangleright if $|t-t_0|\leq r$ then $|f|\leq M$ in R and so we can integrate (3.2.42.) and take absolute value to obtain $|u(t) - u_0|$ = $\overline{}$ **Links** \int_0^t t_{0} $f(t',u(t')\,dt')$ **Signal Party** \leq $\overline{}$ *<u>Property</u>* **Statement** \int_0^t t_{0} $|f(t', u(t'))| dt'$ **Contract Contract** $\leq M|t-t_0| \leq Mr = b$ $(3.2.46.)$ \leq IVI $|t-t_0|\leq 1$

Proof.

First we note that solution $u(t)$ will satisfy the integral equation

$$
u(t) = u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(t', u(t')) dt' \quad (3.2.48.)
$$

Conversely ☛ any solution of integral equation must satisfy both the d.e. and the initial condition

For example \blacktriangleright if we set $t=t_0$ in (3.2.48) we find that i.c. holds

A sequence $u_0, u_1(t), \ldots u_n(t), \ldots$ of successive approximations is now defined with $u_0(t) = u_0$

and

$$
u_n(t) = u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(t', u_{n-1}(t')) dt', \quad n \ge 1
$$
 (3.2.49.)

Restriction (3.2.45) ensures that $u_n(t)$ belongs to R for all n $|u_n(t) - u_0| \leq b$ if $|t - t_0| \leq r$ Indeed \blacktriangleright for $n=0$ the condition is trivially satisfied Assuming that condition holds for u_{n-1} $|\textit{f}| \leq M$ in R we obtain $|u_n(t) - u_0| \leq$ \int_0^t t_{0} $|f(t', u_{n-1}(t'))| dt' \leq M|t-t_0| \leq b$ $\forall |t - t_0| \leq r$ (3.2.50.) To establish convergence of the sequence and find for $n \geq 1$ and $|t-t_0| \leq r$ $|u_{n+1}(t) - u_n(t)|$ = $\overline{}$ $\overline{}$ \int_0^t t_{0} $[f(t', u_n(t')) - f(t', u_{n-1}(t'))] dt'$ $\overline{}$ I l \leq $\overline{}$ I 1 \int_0^t t_{0} $\left| \left[f(t', u_n(t')) - f(t', u_{n-1}(t')) \right] \right| dt'$ $\overline{}$ I l I $\leq N$ $\overline{}$ I I \vert \int_0^t t_{0} $|u_n(t') - u_{n-1}(t')| dt'$ $\overline{}$ I I $(3.2.51.)$ we calculate difference of two successive members of it

For $n = 1$ (3.2.50.) implies $|u_1(t) - u_0| \le M|t - t_0|$ so (3.2.51.) leads to (3.2.52.) $|u_2(t) - u_1(t)| \le NM$ $\overline{}$ \mathbf{I} \int_0^t t_{0} $|t'-t_0| dt$ $\overline{}$ $=MN\frac{|t-t_0|^2}{2}$ 2 For a general *n* we have $|u_n(t) - u_{n-1}(t)| \leq$ $\frac{MN^{n-1}|t-t_0|^n}{\sqrt{M}}$ $\frac{1}{n!}$ (3.2.53.) Now assuming (3.2.53.) holds we get $|u_{n+1}(t) - u_n(t)| \leq MN^n$ \int_0^t t_{0} $|t'-t_0|^n$ $\frac{d}{n!} dt'$ $\overline{}$ **Barbara Marine Mar** $=MN^{n}\frac{|t-t_{0}|^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}$ $(n+1)!$ Therefore \blacksquare $lim_{n \to \infty} |u_{n+1}(t) - u_n(t)| = 0$ $(3.2.54.)$ (3.2.55.)

In summary ☛ since

 $u_n(t) = u_0 + (u_1(t) - u_0) + \cdots + (u_n(t) - u_{n-1}(t)) + \ldots$ (3.2.56.)

the limit

$$
u(t) \equiv \lim_{n \to \infty} u_n(t) = u_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (u_n(t) - u_{n-1}(t))
$$
 (3.2.57.)

exists because the series

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |u_n(t) - u_{n-1}(t)| \le M \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{N^{n-1} |t - t_0|^n}{n!} = M \frac{e^{N|t - t_0|} - 1}{N}
$$

is absolutely convergent

The limit of integral in (3.2.49.) is equal to integral of limit and therefore $u(t)$ is a solution of (3.2.42.)

(3.2.58.)

To study the question of uniqueness we assume $v(t)$ is another solution of (3.2.42.) satisfying $v(t_0)=u_0$ Then $\left| \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{i} \mathbf{f} \right| t - t_0 \leq r$ subtraction of one such equation from the other yields $|u(t) - v(t)| \leq$ \int_0^t t_{0} $|f(t', u(t')) - f(t', v(t'))| dt'$ $\leq N$ \int_0^t t_{0} $|u(t') - v(t')| dt'$ $\leq KN|t-t_0|$ (3.2.60.) where *K* is maximum of $|u(t) - v(t)|$ for $|t - t_0| < r$ $|u(t) - v(t)| \leq KN^2$ \int_0^t t_{0} $|t'-t_0|dt'$ $=KN^2\frac{|t-t_0|^2}{2}$ 2 using (3.2.60) for $|u(t') - v(t')|$ Replicating the procedure n times $|u(t) - v(t)| \leq K N^n \frac{|t-t_0|^n}{n!}$ *n*! it follows that $\left| u(t) - v(t) \right| \le \lim\limits_{n \to \infty}$ $n\rightarrow\infty$ $KN^{n}\frac{|t-t_{0}|^{n}}{n}$ $\frac{1}{n!} = 0$

Example 3.2.1. Consider again linear equation with $t_0=0$ and $u(t_0)=u_0$ We invoke Picard's theorem to obtain $u_1 = u_0 - \lambda$ \int_0^t 0 $u_0 dt' = u_0[1 - \lambda t]$ $u_2 = u_0 - \lambda$ \int_0^t 0 $u_1(t') dt' = u_0[1 - \lambda t + \lambda^2 t^2/2]$ $u_n = u_0$ $\overline{}$ *n* $m=0$ In general $\leftarrow u_n = u_0 \, \sum_{ } (-1)^m (\lambda t)^m / m!$ (3.2.64.) *du dt* $=-\lambda u$

$$
u(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} u_n(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} = u_0 e^{-\lambda t}
$$
 (3.2.65.)

Series converges $\forall t$ \blacktriangleright but condition (3.2.45.) provides overly conservative estimate of interval of convergence For $u_0 > 0, \; M = |\lambda|(b+u_0)$ and $r = \min \left[a, \right]$ *b* $|\lambda|(u_0 + b)$ \mathbb{I} If $a > |\lambda|^{-1}$ then $r \leq |\lambda|^{-1}$ because In general condition (3.2.45.) is very restrictive and Picard's expansion converges in a larger interval Definition 3.2.1. Points (t_0,u_0) in which there is either no solution of (3.2.42.) or solution is not unique ☛ are called singular points Hypotheses of Picard's theorem are sufficient (3.2.66.) for existence of a solution + but not necessary $b/(u_0 + b) < 1$ $\forall b > 0$

Indeed \blacktriangleright if f is continuous inside a ball centered at (t_0,u_0) there is always a solution of (3.2.42.)

but this may not be unique if Lipschitz condition is not met The curve formed by singular points is called singular curve A solution made up entirely of singular points is called singular solution

Example 3.2.2. Consider first-order ordinary differential equation *du dt* = *q u t* with $q>0$ Function $f(t,u)$ has a discontinuity at $t=0$ A possible solution is $u(t)=0$ If $u(t) \neq 0$ \blacktriangleright integration of (3.2.67.) leads to $\ln |u| = q \ln |t| + c'$ that is \leftarrow if $t > 0$ then $u(t) = ct^q$ (3.2.69.) is solution of (3.2.67.) for any value of c (including $c=0$) If $t_0 = 0$ and $u_0 = 0$ (3.2.67.) $(3.2.68.)$ $(3.2.69)$ There is not a unique solution \blacktriangleright but a family of solutions On other hand \blacktriangleright if $t_0=0$ and $\overline{u}_0\neq 0$ there is no solution

