

Are we alone? Space colonization and the Fermi paradox Luis Anchordoqui

On May 25, 1961 President Kennedy's announcement to put a man on the moon and bring him back safely before the end of the decade set the advent of human exploration of space for NASA, culminating to the landing on the Moon on July 16, 1969

It is difficult to believe that this is the only time such an event has ever happened in the history of the universe

The Fermi Paradox

common, where is everyone? On the other hand If intelligent life is

Enrico Fermi: Italian physicist $(1901 - 1954)$

The Fermi Paradox Discrepancy between strong likelihood of alien intelligent life (emerging under a wide variety of assumptions) and absence of any visible evidence for such emergence **Across the Universe Are we alone** Fermi paradox (emerging under a wide variety of assumptions) I

The Fermi Paradox according to Sherlock Holmes

 $>$ Is there any point to which you wish to draw my attention?

➣ To the curious incident of the dog in the night-time

➣ The dog did nothing in the night time

➣ That was the curious incident, remarked Sherlock Holmes

Silver Blaze, A. Conan Doyle

Which of the following is not considered a potential solution to the question of why we lack any evidence of a galactic civilization?

A. there is no galactic civilization because we are the first species ever to achieve the ability to study the universe

B. the galactic civilization probably is undetectable because they operate under different laws of physics from the ones we know

C. the galactic civilization is deliberately avoiding contact with us

D. there is no galactic civilization because all civilizations destroy themselves before they achieve the ability to colonize the galaxy

Which of the following is not considered a potential solution to the question of why we lack any evidence of a galactic civilization?

A. there is no galactic civilization because we are the first species ever to achieve the ability to study the universe

B. the galactic civilization probably is undetectable because they operate under different laws of physics from the ones we know

C. the galactic civilization is deliberately avoiding contact with us

D. there is no galactic civilization because all civilizations destroy themselves before they achieve the ability to colonize the galaxy

Types of answers:

1. They do not exist

2. They exist but 2. They exist but

have not yet

3. The mass of the set communicated with us

3. They are here

An Analogy AN ANALOGY An Analogy

Recall Olbers' paradox:

An infinite univers should be infinitely bright An infinite universe that is infinitely old should be infinitely bright

So why is the So why is the night sky dark?

The night sky is dark because the univ \mathbf{b} emborgry? The night sky is dark secanse the nin tomnomally is finite both spatially The night sky is dark because the universe is finite both spatially and temporally

The number of $\frac{1}{2}$ stars is $3u$ Though the number of of stars is huge, sparse. the universe is Though the number essentially sparse

The Fermi Paradox

W The FP may have a similar solution.

"N" may be large (lots of civilizations) but the Galaxy is too large for the likelihood of one civilization encountering another

... yet

W The smaller N is, the more sparse the Galaxy

Whose paradox asks why the sky is not ablaze with starlight if the universe is infinite in extent and uniformly filled with stars?

A. Olber's

B. Fermi's

C. Schuller's

D. Miller's

Whose paradox asks why the sky is not ablaze with starlight if the universe is infinite in extent and uniformly filled with stars?

A. Olber's

B. Fermi's

C. Schuller's

D. Miller's

EXPLORATION INNOVATION BROUGHT DOWN TO EARTH

AD ASTRA

除

sparks & honey

Purpose?

Why do we spent our money in something that is thousands of millions of kilometres away?

Although I could find more reasons, I think the best reason is, because we simply can!

Fly me to the Moon

Which unlucky Apollo lunar landing was canceled after an oxygen tank exploded?

A. Apollo 13

B. Apollo 11

Which unlucky Apollo lunar landing was canceled after an oxygen tank exploded?

A. Apollo 13

B. Apollo 11

Which was the first manned landing mission on the moon?

A. Apollo 13

B. Apollo 11

Which was the first manned landing mission on the moon?

A. Apollo 13

B. Apollo 11

In what year did Neil Armstrong make his historic walk on the Moon?

A. 1959

B. 1969

C. 1979

D. 1999

In what year did Neil Armstrong make his historic walk on the Moon?

A. 1959

B. 1969

C. 1979

D. 1999

How many people have set foot on the Moon?

A. 1

B. 0

C. 1000

D. 12

How many people have set foot on the Moon?

A. 1

B. 0

C. 1000

D. 12

A home away from home

EXTRASOLAR HABITABILITY:

EARTH-LIKE PLANETS?

D E T E C T I N G E T A O P L A N E T S

WITH RADIAL VELOCITY

MEASUREMENTS

Doppler shift due to Stellar Wobble

 \star

Radial velocity method The star and planet orbit their common center of mass

Spectral lines move Spectral lines move towards the blue as the towards the red as the star travels away from us. star travels towards us. As the star moves away from us. light waves leaving the star are
"stretched" and move towards the As the star moves towards us: red end of the spectrum. light waves leaving the star are-"compressed" and move towards the blue end of the spectrum. • Planet Center of Mass Star ... Not to scale

to the Sun's motion If ETs were measuring the radial velocity of the Sun All planets in the solar system contribute

 m_{a} ; α This is the distance system of the Sun from the solar system's of \pm the sun barycenter in units of the Sun's radius

A Jupiter-mass companion to a solar-type star

Michel Mayor & Didier Queloz

Geneva Observatory, 51 Chemin des Maillettes, CH-1290 Sauverny, Switzerland

The presence of a Jupiter-mass companion to the star 51 Pegasi is inferred from observations of periodic variations in the star's radial velocity. The companion lies only about eight million kilometres from the star, which would be well inside the orbit of Mercury in our Solar System. This object might be a gas-giant planet that has migrated to this location through orbital evolution, or from the radiative stripping of a brown dwarf.

For more than ten years, several groups have been examining the radial velocities of dozens of stars, in an attempt to identify orbital motions induced by the presence of heavy planetary companions¹⁻⁵. The precision of spectrographs optimized for Doppler studies and currently in use is limited to about 15 m s^{-1} . As the reflex motion of the Sun due to Jupiter is 13 m s^{-1} , all current searches are limited to the detection of objects with at least the mass of Jupiter (M_1) . So far, all precise Doppler surveys have failed to detect any jovian planets or brown dwarfs.

Since April 1994 we have monitored the radial velocity of 142 G and K dwarf stars with a precision of 13 m s^{-1} . The stars in our survey are selected for their apparent constant radial velocity (at lower precision) from a larger sample of stars monitored for 15 years^{6,7}. After 18 months of measurements, a small number of stars show significant velocity variations. Although most candidates require additional measurements, we report here the discovery of a companion with a minimum mass of 0.5 M_{J} , orbiting at 0.05 AU around the solar-type star 51 Peg. Constraints originating from the observed rotational velocity of 51 Peg and from its low chromospheric emission give an upper limit of $2 M_J$ for

NATURE \cdot VOL 378 \cdot 23 NOVEMBER 1995

51 Pegasi observations 51 Pegasi observations

The Kepler mission

D E T E C T I N G E X O P L A N E T S

WITH PLANETARY TRANSITS

A Planet that transits ☛ Unique opportunity to observe an exoplanet's

radius orbit mass atmosphere Is it like Earth? Is it habitable? Is it inhabited? + + +

04.42 UT + 2

05.26 UT + 2

05.52 UT + 2

●

Searching for habitable Worlds

KEPLER-20e DECEMBER 2011

KEPLER-22b DECEMBER 2011

KEPLER-452b **JULY 2015**

KEPLER-186f **APRIL 2014**

ARTISTIC CONCEPT

Transit light curves

- $>$ All organisms living on Earth require C-based chemistry in liquid water
- \blacktriangleright According to hot Big Bang model \blacklozenge life (as we know it) could not have appeared earlier than $t \sim 10$ Myr after the Bang 'cause Universe was bathed in thermal radiation background above boiling temperature of liquid water
- $\texttt{Refer 10} \leq t/Myr \leq 17$ Universe cooled down to habitable comfortable temperatures $\sim 273 \leq T/K \leq 373$
- ➣ Each star is surrounded by an habitable zone defined as the orbital range around star within which surface liquid water could be sustained
- ➣ Since water is essential for life as we know it search for biosignature gases naturally focuses on planets located in habitable zone of their host stars

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \times \frac{1
$$

 $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$

L. A. Anchordoqui (CUNY) Conceptual Physics 12-5-2017 16 / 19

L. A. Anchordoqui (CUNY) Conceptual Physics 12-5-2017 16 / 19

Across the Universe Are we alone

The word Albedo refers to which of the following?

A. the wobbling motion of a planet

B. the amount of light a planet reflects

C. the phase changes of a planet

D. the brightness of a star

The word Albedo refers to which of the following?

A. the wobbling motion of a planet

B. the amount of light a planet reflects

C. the phase changes of a planet

D. the brightness of a star

Goldilock's zone

Across the Universe Are we alone Habitable zone regions

What defines the habitable zone around a star?

A. the region around a star where rocky planets form

B. the region around a star where humans can survive

C. the region around a star where liquid water can potentially exist on planetary surfaces

D. the region around a star where the ultraviolet radiation does not destroy organisms on a planetary surface

E. the region around a star where life exists

What defines the habitable zone around a star?

A. the region around a star where rocky planets form

B. the region around a star where humans can survive

C. the region around a star where liquid water can potentially exist on planetary surfaces

D. the region around a star where the ultraviolet radiation does not destroy organisms on a planetary surface

E. the region around a star where life exists

Kepler's small habitable zone planets As of July 2015 Planets enlarged 25x compared to stars

Why search? Assessing the Odds

 \blacktriangleright The astrophysical case: p (habitable planets | Galaxy)

➣ The biological case: p (life | habitable planets)

➣ Complexity: p (technology | life) p (extroversion | technology)

^h*z*astroi ⇠ 0.002 yr¹ and *^x*biotec ¹ **⟨**astro**⟩ ∼** 0.002 yr-1 and biotec **≤** 1 .

the communicative pase is smaller \mathbf{r} If the communicative pase is smaller than 500 .years there would be no paradox

L. A. Anchordoqui (CUNY) Conceptual Physics 12-5-2017 19 / 19

How many Planets are in the Galaxy?

There are approximately 100 billion F,G,K stars .

About 2/3 of these are in binaries with other stellar companions (not ideal for planets – but see Kepler results)

- Most of the ~30 billion isolated stars likely have planetary systems (and so do some binary systems)
- If 1% of these have planets that are habitable and on which . life has formed there could be Np= 300 million planets with the potential of harboring life
- With these numbers, the nearest life-bearing planet could be . <10 pc away. (cf. Kepler numbers discussed earlier)

Which of the following parameters in the Drake Equation do we have sufficient data on such that the value we might assign when calculating the Drake equation goes beyond pure speculation?

- A. the number of habitable planets per planetary system
- B. the fraction of habitable planets on which life arises
- C. the rate of star formation
- D. the average lifetime of a technological civilization
- E. the fraction of life-bearing planets on which

intelligence arises

Which of the following parameters in the Drake Equation do we have sufficient data on such that the value we might assign when calculating the Drake equation goes beyond pure speculation?

- A. the number of habitable planets per planetary system
- B. the fraction of habitable planets on which life arises
- C. the rate of star formation
- D. the average lifetime of a technological civilization
- E. the fraction of life-bearing planets on which

intelligence arises

One reason that might be valid for not expecting life on a planet orbiting a very high-mass (blue, spectral type O) star is

- A. no habitable zone possible—star is too hot
- B. habitable zone too far from the star
- C. the lifetime of the star is probably too short for life to begin
- D. too much ultraviolet radiation
- E. no habitable zone possible—star is too luminous

One reason that might be valid for not expecting life on a planet orbiting a very high-mass (blue, spectral type O) star is

- A. no habitable zone possible—star is too hot
- B. habitable zone too far from the star
- C. the lifetime of the star is probably too short
	- for life to begin
- D. too much ultraviolet radiation
- E. no habitable zone possible—star is too luminous

Which of the following statements best reflects our current knowledge about the term flife in the equation

Number of Civilizations = N_p x f_{life} x $f_{\text{civilization}}$ x f_{now} ?

A. the value of f_{life} must be either 0 percent or 100 percent

B. the value of f_{life} is between 0 percent and 100 percent

C. the value of f_{life} is between 0 percent and 1 percent

D. the value of f_{life} is roughly 50 percent

E. the value of flife is presently unknown but should be well known within just a few years

Which of the following statements best reflects our current knowledge about the term flife in the equation

Number of Civilizations = N_p x flife x fcivilization x fnow?

A. the value of f_{life} must be either 0 percent or 100 percent

B. the value of f_{life} is between 0 percent and 100 percent

C. the value of f_{life} is between 0 percent and 1 percent

D. the value of f_{life} is roughly 50 percent

E. the value of flife is presently unknown but should be well known within just a few years

What do we look for? Reciprocity: what do we radiate?

Transmission from Areci**b**o (1974), beamed once towards M13 (8 kpc) at 2.4 GHz beamed once towards M13 (8 kpc)

 1679 bits = 23 \times 73 grid

Can you decode it?

The message consists of seven parts that encode the following (from the top down):

The numbers one (1) to ten (10) (white)

m

HHH The [atomic numbers](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Atomic_numbers) of the elements [hydrogen,](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hydrogen) [carbon](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carbon), [nitrogen](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nitrogen), [oxygen,](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Oxygen) and [phosphorus,](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Phosphorus) which make up [deoxyribonucleic acid](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Deoxyribonucleic_acid) (DNA) (purple) The [formulas](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Formula) for the [sugars](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sugar_(chemistry)) and [bases](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Base_(chemistry)) in the [nucleotides](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nucleotides) of DNA (green)

> The number of nucleotides in DNA, and a graphic of the double helix structure of DNA (white & blue) A graphic figure of a human, the dimension (physical height) of an average man, and the human population of Earth (red, blue/white, & white respectively) A graphic of the [Solar System](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Solar_System) indicating which of the planets the message is coming from (yellow)

A graphic of the [Arecibo radio telescope](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arecibo_Observatory) and the dimension (the physical diameter) of the transmitting antenna dish (purple, white, and blue)

In 1974, a radio message was sent out from the Arecibo observatory in Puerto Rico How far has it gotten, approximately?

- A. just beyond our Solar System
- B. not even to the nearest stars
- C. just a miniscule fraction of the distance

across the Milky Way

- D. almost to the center of the Milky Way
- E. beyond the Milky Way, to the Andromeda galaxy

In 1974, a radio message was sent out from the Arecibo observatory in Puerto Rico How far has it gotten, approximately?

- A. just beyond our Solar System
- B. not even to the nearest stars
- C. just a miniscule fraction of the distance

across the Milky Way

- D. almost to the center of the Milky Way
- E. beyond the Milky Way, to the Andromeda galaxy

At present, what is the primary way that the search for extraterrestrial intelligence (SETI) is carried out?

A. by searching for planets around distant stars

- B. by using large X-ray telescopes to search for signals from extraterrestrial civilizations
- C. by using radio telescopes to search for signals from extraterrestrial civilizations
- D. by analyzing high-resolution images of nearby stars in search of evidence of structures that could not have developed naturally
- E. by seeking access to the secret records and alien corpses kept at Area 51
At present, what is the primary way that the search for extraterrestrial intelligence (SETI) is carried out?

A. by searching for planets around distant stars

- B. by using large X-ray telescopes to search for signals from extraterrestrial civilizations
- C. by using radio telescopes to search for signals from extraterrestrial civilizations
- D. by analyzing high-resolution images of nearby stars in search of evidence of structures that could not have developed naturally
- E. by seeking access to the secret records and alien corpses kept at Area 51

Detection of PH₃ m life biomarker **UFE ON**
VENUS!

(Vaı¨tilingom *et al.*, 2012; Amato *et al.*, 2017; Bryan *et al.*, 2019) (Fig. 5). Earth's cloud ''aerial biosphere'' is believed to serve as a temporary refuge during long distance trans-Microbes are eventually deposited to the surface by precipitation (Vaı¨tilingom *et al.*, 2012). On Earth, bacterial Life cycle of Earth's aerial biosphere

for microbial life.
And distinguished in the settlement of the control of the control of

the clouds is now known to be a common phenomenon to the common common common common common to be a
the clouds in closer common provincial and the common provincial and the second common common common common co

Life cycle of Earth's aerial biosphere (1) Updraft of metabolically active microorganisms (dashed blobs) from the surface

(2) Microbial cells are metabolically active both within water cloud droplets (solid circles) and in the freefloating form

(3) Cells likely act as cloud condensation nuclei dashed circle) and promote ice nuclei (dashed square) in the atmosphere, promoting droplet formation

(4) Metabolically active cells transiently persist in the atmosphere, are transported over long distances until

(5) deposition onto the surface by precipitation or downdraft

(6) On colonization of the new surface habitat, active cell division commences

Life cycle of Venus' aerial biosphere

continuous, with the middle and lower cloud layers at temperatures that are suitable for life. Bottom panels: Proposed life cycle

- (1) Desiccated spores (black blobs) persist in the lower haze
- (2) Updraft of spores transports them up to the habitable layer
- (3) Spores act as cloud condensation nuclei, and once surrounded by liquid (with necessary chemicals dissolved) germinate and become metabolically active
- (4) Metabolically active microbes (dashed blobs) grow and divide The liquid droplets grow by coagulation within liquid droplets (solid circles)
- (5) The droplets reach a size large enough to gravitationally The spores are small enough to withstand further downward sedimentation, remaining suspended in the lower haze layer ''depot.'' settle down out of the atmosphere; higher temperatures and droplet evaporation trigger cell division and sporulation

read more ☞ arXiv:2404.05356

Principles and Paradox

Copernican principle

- We find ourselves on an ordinary planet around an ➣ ordinary star in an ordinary galaxy
- $>$ AKA the assumption of mediocrity (we're mediocre & there must be lots more like us)

Anthropic principle

> The universe necessarily has properties that allow complex beings like ourselves and life generally to have evolved

➣ Is the universe ordinary?

Fermi Paradox

 $>$ Given CP + AP, if N is large, where is everybody?

The only place outside of Earth where there is irrefutable evidence for (ancient, microbial) life is

- A. the Moon
- B. Mars
- C. Pluto
- D. Venus

E. None of the above–there is no irrefutable evidence for life beyond Earth

The only place outside of Earth where there is irrefutable evidence for (ancient, microbial) life is

- A. the Moon
- B. Mars
- C. Pluto
- D. Venus

E. None of the above–there is no irrefutable evidence for life beyond Earth

What about UFOs?

to + video recording at finge photo + video recording at fingertips. The cording at fingertips. The cording at fingertips. The cording at fin Smartphones are common today – . photo + video recording at fingertips

• "Dashcams" capture continuous footage: e.g. Chelyabinsk meteor, 2013. • Chory wellion no sool, continuous "Dashcams" capture continuous footage: e.g. Chelyabinsk meteor, 2013 .
.
.

monitor night sky to record them became common place of the monoplace? The monoplace of the monoplace? The monoplace? The monopl Robotic survey telescopes continuously .

 $\frac{1}{2}$ rates in the past, $\frac{1}{2}$ we should we have worked Given rates implied by UFO sighting claims in the past, ings routinely today to record them became commonplace? we should have high quality photo / audio / video recordings routinely today ... Did UFOs become shy just as our ability *→* Not plausible

—————— I'll tell you something else I think. I think there are other bowls somewhere out there with intelligent life just like ours. Drawing by Frank Modell; ©1987 The New Yorker Magazine, Inc.

Is it your opinion that manned space travel is possible with present technology? Mention at least two of the problems that interstellar travelers would face, and briefly discuss how they could be resolved

My opinion, which may differ from yours, is that manned interstellar travel is not presently feasible. One problem, if we launched a rocket that traveled at the speed of Voyager 1, is that the travel time to even the nearest star would be a thousand times longer than the average lifetime of a human being. This would require putting the astronauts in suspended animation (something that hasn't been successfully done with humans), or sending out a colony ship, in which a few thousand generations of people would live and die before reaching the target star. Since packing 74,000 years of box lunches would not be practical (far too massive), the colony ship would have to be a selfsustaining ecosystem.

Previous attempts to set up enclosed ecosystems have revealed the difficulty of keeping such a system from "crashing" within a few years. We have to understand the biosphere here on Earth much better if we are to recreate a mini-Earth within a spacecraft. We might try to decrease the travel time by accelerating our interstellar spacecraft to speeds much higher than that of Voyager 1. The problem with this approach is that it requires very large amounts of energy. Moreover, even if you increased the spacecraft's speed by a factor of 100 over that of Voyager 1, it would still take 740 years to reach Proxima Centauri.

Our society is evolving rapidly; are we willing to trust that future generations on the spaceship are still interested in traveling to Proxima Centauri and that future generations on Earth will still be interested in the news from Proxima Centauri

The star Phi Orionis, like the Sun, is powered by the fusion of hydrogen to helium. The mass of Phi Orionis is M_∞= 18M. The luminosity of Phi Orionis is $L_e = 20,000L_o$. Discuss the likelihood of intelligent life existing on a planet orbiting the star Phi Orionis. [Questions you might want to consider: What is the lifespan of Phi Orionis? How long did it take intelligent life to develop on Earth? How far would you have to be from Phi Orionis to receive the same flux of light that we receive here on Earth from the Sun?

|QUERY 33 the likelihood of intelligent life existing on a planet orbitan star Phi Orionis. [Questions you or α

It is unlikely, in my opinion, that intelligent life has developed from scratch on a planet orbiting Phi Orionis. First, given the mass and luminosity of Phi Orionis, its expected lifespan is It is unlikely, in my opinion, that intelligent life hee developed from coretable \blacksquare Phi Orionis. First, given the mass and luminosity of Phi Orionis, its expected lifespan is

mass of Phi Orionis is *M* = 18*M*. The luminosity of Phi Orionis is *L* = 20*,* 000*L*. Discuss

$$
t_{\Phi} = t_{\odot} \frac{M_{\Phi}}{M_{\odot}} \frac{L_{\odot}}{L_{\Phi}} = 10 \text{ billionyears} \times 18 \times \frac{1}{20,000} = 9 \text{ millionyears}
$$

 $\begin{vmatrix} s_{\text{max}} & s_{\text{max}} & t_{\text{max}} & s_{\text{max}} & s_{\text$ it evolve in a time of less than 9 million years in an extraordinary rapid rap lor regal the erregence the Research of Drivership would be a planet to receive the same for the same function | years implies an extraordinarily rapid rate of | evolution. Since it has taken over 4.5 billion years for (moreor-less) intelligent life to develop on Earth, having it evolve in a time of less than 9 million

Phi Orionis. First, given the mass and luminosity of Phi Orionis, its expected lifespan is

Second, since the luminosity of Phi Orionis is 20,000 times that of the Sun, planets close to Phi | Orionis would be badly scorched. For a planet to | receive the same flux of light from Phi Orionis that we receive 1 AU from the Sun, its distance from Phi Orionis would have to be $\blacktriangledown \sqrt{20,000}$ AU = 141 AU. This is far larger than the orbit of Neptune, in a \vert 2^t **A**
 Experience and remember *M L* times that of the Sun, planets close to Phi[|] it receive the same flux of light from Phi Orionis ond, since the luminosity of P higher that of the Sun, planets close to P brace Me Tenetic T an Tion and name fas a painted. This is far larger than the orbit of Neptune, in a region where the Solar System has no planets at all.

region where the Solar System has no planets at all

2. At a pressure of 1 atmosphere, water freezes at 273 K and boils at 373 K. We say that a

planet is in the habitable zone if its equilibrium temperature is within the range allowing liquidibrium tempe

water. Assume that the planet has an albedo similar to that of Earth. The equilibrium temperature to that of E

QUERY 34 might want to consider: What is the lifespan of Phi Orionis? How long did it take intelligent life to develop on Earth? How far would you have to be from Phi Orionis to \mathbb{R}^n

At a pressure of 1 atmosphere, water freezes at 273 K and boils at 373 K. We say that a planet is in the habitable zone if its equilibrium temperature is within the range allowing liquid water. Assume that the planet has an | albedo similar to that of Earth. The equilibrium | temperature of the Earth (at 1 AU from a solar type star \parallel | and with Earth's albedo) is 263 K. How does the | \parallel equilibrium temperature of a planet depend upon the \parallel planet's distance from the star R and the luminosity of \parallel the star, L? Write your answer in the following form. lieg blessure or T genosbuere

the likelihood of intelligent life existing on a planet orbital orbiting orbital the star Phi Orionis. [Questions you are planet or a planet orbital the star Phi Orionis. [Questions you are planet or a planet or a planet o

$$
T_{\text{eq}} = X(\text{in Kelvin}) \left(\frac{L}{L_{\odot}}\right)^{\alpha} \left(\frac{r}{\text{AU}}\right)^{\beta}
$$

, (1)

and find the exponents α and β , as well as the constant X and find the exponents α and β , as M

 $\overline{}$ when are the inner and outer radii (ii) of the star) of the star) of the habitable zone near a starting

QUERY 34 and find the exponents ↵ and , as well as the constant *X*. let the stars of the stars of the stars of the following forms of the following forms of the following forms o

that absorbed so *r* light emitted *L* ⇣ *r* **Ly** \ddot{a} The amount of light emitted is equal to and a group in the constant $\frac{1}{2}$

*^T*eq ⁼ *^X*(in Kelvin) ✓ *^L*

$$
\sigma T^4 \sim \frac{(1-\alpha)L}{r^2}
$$

L

equilibrium temperature of a planet depend upon the planet depend upon the planet depend upon the star α and the star α and the planet depend upon the star α and the star α and the star α and the star α a

AU

. (4)

. (4)

 W_{ρ} find that $T \propto T^{1/4}$ r *^r*² *.* (3) We find that *^T* / *^L*1*/*4*r*1*/*² we rewrite this as We find that $T \propto L^{1/4} r^{-1/2}$ we rewrite this as

$$
T_{\text{eq}} = 263 \text{ K} \left(\frac{L}{L_{\odot}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{r}{\text{AU}}\right)^{-1/2}
$$

What are the inner and outer radii (in AU from the star) of the habitable zone near a star that is 100 times as luminous as the Sun (such as a red giant)? The Sun will become a red giant in a few billion years. Speculate on which moons and satellites in our solar system might become nice places to live during this time

